

Planning Team Report

The Hills Local Environmental Plan 2012 – Reclassification of land at 5 Jackson Place, Kellyville from "Community" to "Operational" land.

Proposal Title : The Hills Local Environmental Plan 2012 – Reclassification of land at 5 Jackson Place, Kellyville from "Community" to "Operational" land.					
Proposal Summary :	The planning proposal proposes to amend The Hills Local Environmental Plan 2012 to reclassify Lot 601 DP 1083182, 5 Jackson Place, Kellyville from "Community" to "Operational" land.				
PP Number :	PP_2015_THILL_003_00	Dop File No	15/02207		
Proposal Details					
Date Planning Proposal Received :	15-Dec-2014	LGA covered :	The Hills Shire		
Region :	Metro(Parra)	RPA :	The Hills Shire Council		
State Electorate :	BAULKHAM HILLS	Section of the Act	55 - Planning Proposal		
LEP Type :	Reclassification		* 		
Location Details					
Street: 5 J	ackson Place				
Suburb : Kel	yville City :	Baulkham Hills	Postcode : 2155		
Land Parcel : Lot	601 DP 1083182				
DoP Planning Offic	er Contact Details				
Contact Name :	Amar Saini				
Contact Number :	0298601130				
Contact Email :	amar.saini@planning.nsw.gov.a	L	×		
RPA Contact Details					
Contact Name :	Alicia Jenkins				
Contact Number :	0298430396				
Contact Email :	ajenkins@thehills.nsw.gov.au				
DoP Project Manager Contact Details					
Contact Name :	Derryn John		· · ·		
Contact Number :	0298601505		2		
Contact Email :	derryn.john@planning.nsw.gov.a	au			
Land Release Data		<u>*</u>			
Growth Centre :		Release Area Name :	Balmoral Road		
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Metro West Central subregion	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes		

The Hills Local Environmental Plan 2012 – Reclassification of land at 5 Jackson Place, Kellyville from "Community" to "Operational" land.

MDP Number :		Date of Release :		
Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :		
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	1	
Gross Floor Area	0	No of Jobs Created	0	
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes			
If No, comment 🕺			2	
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	No			
If Yes, comment	In relation to the Lobbyist Code of Conduct, there are no records on the Department's Lobbyist Contact Register regarding this matter.			
Supporting notes				
Internal Supporting Notes :	POLITICAL DONATIONS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT			
	Political donations disclosure law requires the public disclosure of the Planning system.			
	"The disclosure requirements under the new legislation are triggered by the making of relevant planning applications and relevant public submissions on such applications.			
	The term relevant planning application means:			
	- A formal request to the Minister, a council or the Secretary to initiate the making of an environmental planning instrument"			
	Planning Circular PS 08-009 spec Minister or Secretary is required			
	The Department has not received	I any disclosure statement	ts for this Planning Proposal.	
External Supporting Notes :				
Adequacy Assessmen	t			
Statement of the ob	jectives - s55(2)(a)			
is a statement of the ob	ojectives provided? Yes			
Comment :	The objective of this planning to 'operational' to facilitate the		he subject land from 'community' dential lot.	

Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment :

The Hills Local Environmental Plan 2012 – Reclassification of land at 5 Jackson Place, Kellyville from "Community" to "Operational" land.

Council has provided the following explanation for the proposed amendment:

The aims of this planning proposal will be achieved by reclassifying the subject land from 'community' to 'operational' land.

The land is owned by Council.

Council has advised that two restrictions applying to the land will be removed as part of the planning proposal. Both of these relate to restricting the use of land for residential purposes unless the prior approval of Council has been obtained. Removal of these restrictions is appropriate because the land is no longer required for a public purpose and retaining this restriction may limit a future landowner form undertaking complying development (which can be approved through a certifier rather than Council).

Council has advised that apart from the removal of the two restrictions this proposal does not include the extinguishment of any other interests in the land.

The land will be identified in Part 2 of Schedule 4 of the LEP to reflect the removal of the above restrictions. A copy of the proposed table is in Documents.

Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? No

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :

* May need the Director General's agreement

3.1 Residential Zones6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes7.1 Implementation of A Plan for Growing Sydney

Is the Director General's agreement required? Yes

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?

e) List any other matters that need to be considered :

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes

If No, explain :

SECTION 117 DIRECTIONS

3.1 RESIDENTIAL ZONES:

The direction requires a planning proposal to facilitate the provisions of housing. The proposal is not considered to be inconsistent with the direction as the site is already zoned R2 Low Density Residential. The proposed reclassification will encourage provision of additional housing in an appropriate location supported by infrastructure and services.

6.2 RESERVING LAND FOR PUBLIC PURPOSES:

The direction requires that the amendment should not create, alter or reduce existing zonings or reservations of land for public purposes without the approval of the relevant public authority and the Director General of the Department of Planning.

The planning proposal proposes to reclassify land at 5 Jackson Place, Kellyville (Lot 601 DP 1083182) as operational land to enable the sale of the site. The land is in the ownership of The Hills Shire Council. Therefore, the proposal satisfies the requirement of the Direction that the relevant authority has approved of the rezoning and the circumstances any inconsistency is considered to be minor.

It is considered that the Secretary's approval should be granted in this instance.

The No Mapping Provided - s55(2) Is mapping provided? Yes Comment : No Co rou It is Community consultation bee Comment : Co of f ext Additional Director General Are there any additional Director If Yes, reasons : Coverall adequacy of the pr Does the proposal meet the ade If No, comment : Diverall Assessment Principal LEP: Due Date : Comments in relation to Principal LEP : Assessment Criteria	maps require modification pursuant to this amendment. uncil has provided location map and concept plan showing the revised cycleway ite. is considered that the maps are adequate for consultation purposes. s55(2)(e) en proposed? No uncil has not specified the community consultation period. However, given the nature the planning proposal, it is recommended that it should be placed on public hibition for a minimum of 28 days. It's requirements r General's requirements? No
Mapping Provided - s55(2)(Is mapping provided? Yes Comment : No Co rou It is Community consultation bec Community consultation bec Comment : Co of f ext Additional Director General Are there any additional Director If Yes, reasons : Dverall adequacy of the pr Does the proposal meet the adde If No, comment : Due Date : Comments in relation to Principal LEP : Assessment Criteria Need for planning BACK	rth West Subregional Strategy. (d) maps require modification pursuant to this amendment. uncil has provided location map and concept plan showing the revised cycleway rte. is considered that the maps are adequate for consultation purposes. s55(2)(e) en proposed? No uncil has not specified the community consultation period. However, given the nature the planning proposal, it is recommended that it should be placed on public nibition for a minimum of 28 days. It's requirements r General's requirements? No
Is mapping provided? Yes Comment : No Co rou It is Community consultation - Has community consultation bee Comment : Co of f ext Additional Director Genera Are there any additional Director If Yes, reasons : Dverall adequacy of the pr Does the proposal meet the ade If No, comment : Diposal Assessment Principal LEP: Due Date : Comments in relation to Principal LEP : Assessment Criteria Need for planning BACK	maps require modification pursuant to this amendment. uncil has provided location map and concept plan showing the revised cycleway ite. is considered that the maps are adequate for consultation purposes. s55(2)(e) en proposed? No uncil has not specified the community consultation period. However, given the nature the planning proposal, it is recommended that it should be placed on public hibition for a minimum of 28 days. It's requirements r General's requirements? No
Comment : No Co rou It is Community consultation - Has community consultation bee Comment : Co of f ext Additional Director General Are there any additional Director If Yes, reasons : Dverall adequacy of the pr Does the proposal meet the ade If No, comment : Director General Are there any additional Director If Yes, reasons : Dverall adequacy of the pr Does the proposal meet the ade If No, comment : Due Date : Comments in relation to Principal LEP : Assessment Criteria Need for planning BACK	uncil has provided location map and concept plan showing the revised cycleway ite. s considered that the maps are adequate for consultation purposes. s55(2)(e) en proposed? No uncil has not specified the community consultation period. However, given the nature the planning proposal, it is recommended that it should be placed on public hibition for a minimum of 28 days. Il's requirements r General's requirements? No
Co rou It is Community consultation - Has community consultation bee Comment : Co of f ext Additional Director Genera Are there any additional Director If Yes, reasons : Overall adequacy of the pr Does the proposal meet the ade If No, comment : Oposal Assessment Principal LEP: Due Date : Comments in relation to Principal LEP : Assessment Criteria Need for planning BACK	uncil has provided location map and concept plan showing the revised cycleway ite. s considered that the maps are adequate for consultation purposes. s55(2)(e) en proposed? No uncil has not specified the community consultation period. However, given the nature the planning proposal, it is recommended that it should be placed on public hibition for a minimum of 28 days. Il's requirements r General's requirements? No
Community consultation - Has community consultation bee Comment : Co of t ext Additional Director General Are there any additional Director If Yes, reasons : Overall adequacy of the pr Does the proposal meet the ade If No, comment : Oposal Assessment Principal LEP: Due Date : Comments in relation to Principal LEP : Assessment Criteria Need for planning BACK	s55(2)(e) en proposed? No uncil has not specified the community consultation period. However, given the nature the planning proposal, it is recommended that it should be placed on public hibition for a minimum of 28 days. Il's requirements r General's requirements? No
Comment : Co of t ext Additional Director General Are there any additional Director If Yes, reasons : Overall adequacy of the pr Does the proposal meet the ade If No, comment : Oposal Assessment Principal LEP: Due Date : Comments in relation to Principal LEP : Assessment Criteria Need for planning BACK	en proposed? No uncil has not specified the community consultation period. However, given the nature the planning proposal, it is recommended that it should be placed on public hibition for a minimum of 28 days. Il's requirements r General's requirements? No
Comment : Co of t ext Additional Director General Are there any additional Director If Yes, reasons : Overall adequacy of the pr Does the proposal meet the ade If No, comment : Oposal Assessment Principal LEP: Due Date : Comments in relation to Principal LEP : Assessment Criteria Need for planning BACK	uncil has not specified the community consultation period. However, given the nature the planning proposal, it is recommended that it should be placed on public hibition for a minimum of 28 days. It's requirements r General's requirements? No
of feed Additional Director General Are there any additional Director If Yes, reasons : Overall adequacy of the pr Does the proposal meet the ade If No, comment : Oposal Assessment Principal LEP: Due Date : Comments in The H relation to Principal LEP : Assessment Criteria Need for planning BACK	the planning proposal, it is recommended that it should be placed on public nibition for a minimum of 28 days. I I's requirements r General's requirements? No oposal
Are there any additional Director If Yes, reasons : Dverall adequacy of the pro- Does the proposal meet the ade If No, comment : Doposal Assessment Principal LEP: Due Date : Comments in The H relation to Principal LEP : Assessment Criteria Need for planning BACK	r General's requirements? No oposal
If Yes, reasons : Dverall adequacy of the pro- Does the proposal meet the ade If No, comment : Doposal Assessment Principal LEP: Due Date : Comments in relation to Principal LEP : Assessment Criteria Need for planning BACK	oposal
Does the proposal meet the ade If No, comment : oposal Assessment principal LEP: Due Date : Comments in relation to Principal LEP : Assessment Criteria Need for planning BACK	
Does the proposal meet the ade If No, comment : Doposal Assessment Principal LEP: Due Date : Comments in relation to Principal LEP : Assessment Criteria Need for planning BACK	
Due Date : Comments in The H relation to Principal LEP : Assessment Criteria Need for planning BACK	
Comments in The H relation to Principal LEP : Assessment Criteria Need for planning BACK	
relation to Principal LEP : Assessment Criteria Need for planning BACK	
Need for planning BACK	ills LEP 2012 is a Principal LEP.
proposal.	GROUND AND PROPOSAL
	ite ite is a 421.9 sqm parcel of land currently zoned R2 Low Density Residential and ified as 'community' land.
The p purch netwo	ground roperty adjoins the future Arnold Avenue Sports Complex in Kellyville. Council based it on 14 June 2006 with the intent of providing a connection to the cycleway ork that links Arnold Avenue Supporting Fields with the Greenway Link between Bella Farm and Rouse Hill.
An ag trunk locati of the	

The Hills Local Environmental Plan 2012 – Reclassification of land at 5 Jackson Place, Kellyville from "Community" to "Operational" land.

	The Proposal Council has advised that given the land is no longer required for its intended public purpose, it is appropriate that the land be reclassified to 'operational' and sold as a residential lot, consistent with its current R2 Low Density Residential zoning and development on surrounding land.
	Council has further advised that the sale of the land will assist with providing funds for the provision of open space and recreation opportunities in this area.
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	METROPOLITAN STRATEGY The planning proposal is not considered to be inconsistent with 'A plan for growing Sydney'.
	DRAFT NORTH WEST SUBREGIONAL STRATEGY The planning proposal is not considered to be inconsistent the draft North West Sub-regional Strategy.
	LOCAL STRATEGY Council has advised that the draft planning proposal is consistent with the Council's Community Strategic Plan as it will contribute to housing stock in the area and will generate funds for the provisions of open space improvements.
Environmental social economic impacts :	It is considered that there are no likely social, economic and environmental impacts, as the planning proposal simply seeks to reclassify the land from "Community" to "Operational" and reduction in open space is minimal.

Assessment Process

Proposal type :	Minor			Community Co Period :	onsultation	28 Days		
Timeframe to ma LEP :	ike 6 mon t	ths		Delegation :		DDG		
Public Authority Consultation - 56 (d) :	6(2)							
Is Public Hearing) by the PAC rec	uired?	No					
(2)(a) Should the	matter proceed	1?	Yes					
lf no, provide rea	isons :							
		94.						
Resubmission -	s56(2)(b) : No							
If Yes, reasons :								
ldentify any addi	tional studies, if	required.						
If Other, provide	reasons :							
Identify any inter	nal consultation	s, if required						
No internal con	sultation require	ed					~	

Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No

If Yes, reasons :

Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	Is Public
Council Letter.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes
Planning Proposal.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Council's Planning Report.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes
Location Mapsl.pdf	Мар	Yes
Proposed table showing how the land will be identify in Part 2 of Schedule 4 of the Hills LEP 2012.pdf	Determination Document	Yes
Planning Team Report.pdf	Determination Document	Yes

Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:	3.1 Residential Zones 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes 7.1 Implementation of A Plan for Growing Sydney
Additional Information :	Delegation of Gateway determination
	The planning proposal is for reclassification of land at 5 Jackson Place, Kellyville from "Community" to "Operational". The proposal is considered to be minor in nature, and it is recommended that the determination function of the Gateway be exercised under delegation by the Director, Metropolitan Region (Parramatta).
	Delegation to Council
	The Hills Shire Council has not requested plan making functions be delegated to Council in this instance. As the proposal relates to land owned by Council and the proposal includes discharge of interests in relation to the subject land (which require the

includes discharge of interests in relation to the subject land (which require the Governor's approval), it is therefore recommended that delegations of the Plan Making functions not be given to Council in this instance.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that the planning proposal proceed subject to the following conditions:

1. Community consultation is required under Section 56(2)(c) and 57 of the EP&A Act as follows:

(a) the planning proposal must be made publicly exhibited for 28 days, and

(b) the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be made publicly available along with the planning proposal as identified in section 5.5.2 of A Guide to preparing local environmental plans (Department of Planning and Environment 2013).

2. A public hearing is not required to be held in relation to this matter by any person or body under section 52(2)(e) of the EP&A Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example, in response to a submission or if reclassifying land).

3. The timeframe for completing the local environmental plan is to be 6 months from the

The Hills Local Environmental Plan 2012 – Reclassification of land at 5 Jackson Place, Kellyville from "Community" to "Operational" land.				
	week following the date of the Gateway determination.			
Supporting Reasons	The planning proposal is supported as:			
	 Reclassification of the subject land will facilitate sale of the land for residential purposes. It would provide opportunity for the development of an additional dwelling within a residential area with good access to services, community facilities and infrastructure. 			
Signature:	Derry Solon			
Printed Name:	JERRYW JOHN Date: 2 MARCH 2015			

